1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney		
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division		
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	SARAH HAWKINS (CABN 257723) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7126 Fax: (415) 436-7207 Email: Sarah.Hawkins@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for United States of America		
8			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR 15 0489 RS	
14	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ) CONTINUE DETENTION HEARING AND ) EXCLUDE TIME FROM OTHERWISE ) APPLICABLE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT ) CALCULATION	
15	V.		
16	DOUGLAS LEE SMITH,		
17	Defendant.	) )	
18		) )	
19		.)	
20	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through undersigned counsel, that:		
22	1. The parties appeared on December 3, 2016 at 2:30 p.m. for an initial appearance. Mr.		
23	Smith was represented by Ethan Balogh. Assistant United States Attorney Sarah Hawkins appeared for		
24	the Government.		
25	2. At the appearance, the parties exp	plained that discovery had been produced and was being	
26	reviewed by counsel for Mr. Smith. The parties jointly requested a status conference on February 21,		
27	2017, a date agreed upon by the Court.		
28	3. The parties further respectfully re	equested that the period from December 13, 2016	
	STIP. AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 15-0489 RS	1	

## Case 3:15-cr-00489-RS Document 79 Filed 01/03/17 Page 2 of 3

1	through and including February 21, 2017 should be excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Tri		
2	Act computation because the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into		
3	account the exercise of due diligence.		
4	4. The parties concur that grant	ing the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary	
5	for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The		
6	parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time for the purposes		
7	of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a		
8	speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).		
9			
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
11			
12	DATED: December 30, 2016	BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney	
13			
14		SARAH HAWKINS	
15		Assistant United States Attorney	
16			
17	DATED: December 30, 2016		
18		 ETHAN A. BALOGH	
19		Counsel for Douglas Smith	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>			
28			
_U	II .		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the above-described Stipulation, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from December 13, 2016 to February 21, 2017 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The parties shall appear before the Court on February 21, 2017 at 2:30 p.m. for an initial appearance.
- 2. The period from December 13, 2016 through and including February 21, 2017 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1/3/17

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge